Cosmology with Supernovae

Lecture 2 Bruno Leibundgut

3rd Azores School on Observational Cosmology

Bruno Leibundgut

Programme

- Lecture 1
 - Hubble Constant
 - Importance of H_o
 - Measurements of H₀
 - local \rightarrow distance ladder
 - global → gravitational lensing, cosmic microwave background
 - H_0 today and tomorrow

Programme

- Lecture 2
 - Tests of General Relativity
 - Expansion
 - time dilation
 - Distance duality
 - relation between luminosity distance and angular size distance
 - Cosmological parameters
 - Evidence for acceleration
 - Future of SN cosmology



Time Dilation

In an expanding universe the time appears dilated for a distant object

redshifts!



Find a clock ticking at a significant redshift → light curve of a type la supernova

Time Dilation in SNe la

Uniform light curve shapes in a given filter

➔ Distant supernovae should show a 'slower' light curve





3rd Azores School on Observational Cosmology

Time dilation



Time Dilation

'Tired Light' can be excluded beyond doubt ($\Delta \chi^2 = 120$)



Luminosity Distances

- The rate of the photon arrivals is reduced by

a factor $\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_0)} = \frac{1}{1+z}$ and the energy of the photons ($E = h\nu$) is also reduced by a factor (1 + z) (remember luminosity L is energy per time)

$$l = \frac{L}{4\pi x_1^2 a^2 (t_0)(1+z)^2}$$

- Set $D_L = x_1 a(t_0)(1 + z)$ and we recover the

equation for the luminosity distance $l = \frac{L}{4\pi D_L^2}$

Angular size distance

- A different method is to measure the angle of a distant object of known size $D_A = \frac{l}{\theta}$ (here *l* is the size of the object; θ the observed angle)
- Inspection of the metric (here we only need the $g_{\theta\theta}$ part), which gives $l = x_1 a(t_1)\theta$ and inserting this in the equation above

yields
$$D_A = x_1 a(t_1)$$
 and with $\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t_0)} = \frac{1}{1+z}$
we find $\frac{D_L}{D_A} = (1+z)^2$.

Distance Duality

This is quite remarkable for high redshifts

- the physical distances differ for the same redshift!
- an object for which we could measure the angular size distance and the luminosity distance would give a different number of Mpc!
- a direct consequence of general relativity $\frac{D_L}{D_A} = (1+z)^2$

Э	z	$\frac{D_L}{D_A}$
	0.1	1.21
	0.15	1.32
	0.2	1.44
	0.25	1.56
2	0.3	1.69
	0.35	1.82

Distance Duality

- Now measured in several systems
 - galaxy clusters
 - Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect
 - gravitational lenses
- Type II Supernovae
 - use two different methods to the same object
 - Expanding Photosphere Method
 - equates luminosity distance with angular size distance
 - Standardizable Candle Method
 - pure luminosity distance

Distance to SN 2013eq (z=0.041)

- Use EPM and CSM to measure
- distance to same supernova
- EPM provides explosion date to be used CSM Gall et al. 2016

	Dilution _{Eil}		$D_{\rm L}$	Averaged D	L	t_0^{\star}	Av	verage t	$\frac{\star}{0}$ t	↔)	
	factor	Tinter	Mpc	Mpc		days*		days*	M	ĴD	
		В	163 ± 45			5.8 ± 10).5			56 499.6 ± 4.6	
	H01	V	125 ± 22	151 ± 18		-0.5 ± 5	5.4 4	$.1 \pm 4.4$	56 4 99		
		Ι	165 ± 23			7.1 ± 6	5.0				
_		В	177 ± 48			4.7 ± 9	.8			56500.7 ± 4.3	
	D05	V	136 ± 23	164 ± 20		-1.3 ± 5	.1 3	$.1 \pm 4.1$	56 500		
		Ι	180 ± 25			5.9 ± 5	.6				
=											
Estimate of t_0 via			t_0^{\diamond}	V_{50}^{*}		I_{50}^{*}	v_{50}		μ	$D_{ m L}$	
			MJD	mag		mag	km s	-1	mag	Mpc	
EPM – H01		1	56499.6 ± 4.6	19.05 ± 0.09	18	$.39 \pm 0.04$	$4880 \pm$	760 3	6.03 ± 0.43	160 ± 32	
EPM – D05		5	56500.7 ± 4.3	19.06 ± 0.09	18	$.39 \pm 0.04$	$4774 \pm$	741 3	5.98 ± 0.42	157 ± 31	
Rise time – G15		G15	56496.6 ± 0.3	19.03 ± 0.05	18	$.39 \pm 0.04$	5150±	353 3	6.13 ± 0.20	168 ± 16	
_											



Distance Duality

First attempts inconclusive



Cosmological Parameters

Map the expansion history of the universe

- Type Ia supernovae provide the accurate *relative* distances
- Measurement independent of H₀
 - assumes no luminosity evolution of SNe la over time

Completely within the framework of FRW models

The Energy-Momentum Tensor

• Use the form for the 'perfect fluid'

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho c^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$$

The energy conservation requires that the covariant derivative

$$0 = T^{\mu\nu}_{;\mu} = \frac{\partial T^{0\mu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} + \Gamma^{0}_{\mu\nu} T^{\nu\mu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\mu\nu} T^{0\nu} = \frac{\partial T^{00}}{\partial t} + \Gamma^{0}_{ij} + \Gamma^{i}_{i0} T^{00} = \frac{c^2 d\rho}{dt} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(p + \rho c^2)$$
$$c^2 \dot{\rho} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a}(p + \rho c^2) = 0$$

Energy-Momentum Tensor

- A general form is an equation of state $p = \omega \rho c^2$. ω is the equation of state parameter.
- Inserting this into the conservation equation gives $\frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} = -3(1+\omega)\frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ which integrates to $\log(\rho) = -3(1+\omega)\log(a) + const.$
- Exponentiating yields $\rho \propto a^{-3(1+\omega)}$

Energy-Momentum Tensor

• The time (00) component of the Einstein equations is

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3c^2}(\rho c^2 + 3p)$$

- As long as pressure and density are positive the universe decelerates $\ddot{a} < 0$.
- Acceleration requires $\rho c^2 + 3p < 0$ or $\omega < -\frac{1}{3}$.

Matter

- The pressure in matter is negligible compared to the mass content (think mc^2) and hence $\omega = 0$
- Thus $\rho_{matter} \propto a^{-3}$
- Inserting this in the Friedmann equation for a flat universe (k=0) provides the time dependence of the scale factor $a(t) \propto t^{\frac{2}{3}}$

Radiation

- Radiation decreases with the volume (i.e. number of photons), but has one additional factor due to the redshift $\omega = \frac{1}{3}$ and hence $\rho_{rad} \propto a^{-4}$
- The time dependence here is now $a(t) \propto \sqrt{t}$

Vacuum energy

- A special case is $\rho_{vacuum} = const.$
- In this case the density is associated to the vacuum
- Now the scale factor grows exponentially $a(t) \propto e^{Ht}$

Friedmann equation (last time)

• We can put the various densities into the Friedmann equation

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho(t) = \frac{8\pi G}{3}(\rho_{matter} + \rho_{rad} + \rho_{vac}) - \frac{k}{a^2}$$

• We can define the critical density for a flat universe (k = 0) $\rho_{crit} = \frac{3H^2}{4\pi G}$ we can define the ratio to the critical density $\rho = -\frac{\rho}{2}$

ratio to the critical density $\Omega = \frac{\rho}{\rho_{crit}}$

Most compact form of Friedmann equation

$$1 = \Omega_{matter} + \Omega_{rad} + \Omega_{vac} + \Omega_k \text{ with } \Omega_k = -\frac{k}{a^2 H^2}$$

Dependence on scale parameter

For the different contents there were different dependencies for the scale parameter

 $\rho_{matter} \propto a^{-3}$; $\rho_{rad} \propto a^{-4}$; $\rho_{\Lambda} = const$. Combining this with the critical densities we can write the density as

$$\rho = \frac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G} \left[\Omega_{matter} \left(\frac{a_0}{a} \right)^3 + \Omega_{rad} \left(\frac{a_0}{a} \right)^4 + \Omega_{\Lambda} \right]$$

and the Friedmann equation $H^{2} = H_{0}^{2} [\Omega_{matter} (1+z)^{3} + \Omega_{rad} (1+z)^{4} + \Omega_{\Lambda} + \Omega_{k} (1+z)^{2}]$

Lookback Time

• Consider

$$H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a} = \frac{da}{dt}\frac{1}{a} = dt\ln\left(\frac{a(t)}{a_0}\right) = \frac{1}{dt}\ln\left(\frac{1}{1+z}\right) = -\frac{1}{1+z}\frac{dz}{dt}$$

• Inserting into the Friedmann equation we find the equation for the time interval

$$dt = \frac{-dz}{H_0(1+z)\sqrt{\Omega_{matter}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{rad}(1+z)^4 + \Omega_{\Lambda} + \Omega_k(1+z)^2}}$$

and integrating

$$t_0 - t_1 = \frac{1}{H_0} \int_0^{z_1} \frac{dz}{(1+z)\sqrt{\Omega_{matter}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{rad}(1+z)^4 + \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_k(1+z)^2}}$$

• Age in a matter dominated universe

$$(t_1 = 0, z = \infty) t_{0,matter} = \frac{1}{H_0} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{dz}{(1+z)^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right) = \frac{2}{3H_0}$$
Bruno Leibundgut

Distances (last time)

We can now also express the luminosity distance $D_L = a_0 x_1(1 + z)$ in these terms

- from the metric for a light ray coming towards

us we have
$$\frac{dr}{cdt} = \frac{\sqrt{1-kx^2}}{a(t)}$$
 which turns into

$$\frac{a_0}{c}\frac{dx}{\sqrt{1-kx^2}} = (1+z)dt$$

– after integration we have (using dt from above)

$$\frac{a_0}{c} \int_0^{x_1} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - kx^2}} = \int_0^{z_1} \frac{dz}{H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_{matter}(1 + z)^3 + \Omega_{rad}(1 + z)^4 + \Omega_\Lambda + \Omega_k(1 + z)^2}}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\arcsin(x_1\sqrt{k})}{\sqrt{k}} & k > 0 \end{cases}$$

- solutions of the left side are
$$\frac{a_0}{c} \times \begin{cases} x_1 & k = 0 \\ \frac{\arcsin(x_1\sqrt{-k})}{\sqrt{-k}} & k < 0 \end{cases}$$

3rd Azores School on Observational Cosmology

Bruno Leibundgut

Luminosity Distance

Putting this together with the appropriate trigonometric functions gives

$$D_{L} = a_{0}x_{1}(1+z) = \frac{c(1+z)}{H_{0}\sqrt{|\Omega_{k}|}}S\left(\sqrt{|\Omega_{k}|}\int_{0}^{z} \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{\Omega_{matter}(1+z')^{3} + \Omega_{rad}(1+z')^{4} + \Omega_{\Lambda} + \Omega_{k}(1+z')^{2}}}\right)$$

with $S(y) = \begin{cases} \sin(y) & k > 0\\ y & k = 0\\ \sinh(y) & k < 0 \end{cases}$

We now have the luminosity distance as a function of today's measurements (H_0 , Ω 's) and the redshift z

With the equation of state parameter $\boldsymbol{\omega}$

General luminosity distance

$$D_{L} = \frac{(1+z)c}{H_{0\sqrt{|\Omega_{k}|}}} S\left\{\sqrt{|\Omega_{k}|} \int_{0}^{z} \left[\Omega_{k}(1+z')^{2} + \sum_{i}\Omega_{i}(1+z')^{3(1+\omega_{i})}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} dz'\right\}$$

- with $\Omega_{k} = 1 - \sum_{i}\Omega_{i}$ and $\omega_{i} = \frac{p_{i}}{\rho_{i}c^{2}}$

- $\omega_M = 0$ (matter)
- $\omega_R = \frac{1}{3}$ (radiation)
- $\omega_{\Lambda} = -1$ (cosmological constant)

SN la Hubble diagram





- Excellent distance indicators
- Experimentally verified
- Work of several decades
- Best determination of the Hubble constant

3rd Azores School on Observational Cosmology

Distance indicator! 40 Distance 38 36 m-M34 32 30 28 1 ₫ 車 ₫ ₫ ₽≖ $\Delta(m-M)$ 0 Ē Ī -150000 2000 1000 5000 10000 20000 recession velocity in the CMB frame Expansion velocity Bruno Leibundgut



The SN Hubble Diagram





If the observational evidence upon which these claims are based are reinforced by future experiments, the implications for cosmology will be incredible.

Preprint August 1999

Nobel Prize in Physics 2011











Saul Perlmutter Brian Schmidt Adam Riess

"for the discovery of the accelerating expansion of the Universe through observations of distant supernovae"



3rd Azores School c



AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

Bruno Leibundgut

Contents of the universe

Dark Matter and Dark Energy are the dominant energy components in the universe.



Supernova Cosmology



3rd Azores Sch

no Leibundgut



^{3&}lt;sup>rd</sup> Azores School on Observational Cosmology

Constant ω firmly established

Nsn	Ω _м (flat)	w (constant, flat)	Light curve	Reference		
			fitter			
115	$0.263^{+0.042}_{-0.042}{}^{+0.032}_{-0.032}$	$-1.023^{+0.090}_{-0.090}{}^{+0.054}_{-0.090}$	SALT	Astier et al. 2006		
162	$0.267^{+0.028}_{-0.018}$	$-1.069^{+0.091}_{-0.083}{}^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$	MLCS2k2	Wood-Vasey et al. 2007		
178	$0.288^{+0.029}_{-0.019}$	$-0.958^{+0.088}_{-0.090}{}^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$	SALT2			
288	$0.307^{+0.019}_{-0.019}{}^{+0.023}_{-0.023}$	$-0.76^{+0.07}_{-0.07}{}^{+0.11}_{-0.11}$	MLCS2k2	Kessler et al. 2009		
288	$0.265^{+0.016}_{-0.016}{}^{+0.025}_{-0.016}{}^{-0.025}_{-0.025}$	$-0.96^{+0.06}_{-0.06}{}^{+0.13}_{-0.13}$	SALT2			
557	$0.279^{+0.017}_{-0.016}$	$-0.997^{+0.050}_{-0.054}$	SALT2	Amanullah et al. 2010		
472		$-0.91^{+016}_{-0.20}{}^{\pm0.07}_{-0.14}$	SiFTO/SALT2	Conley et al. 2011		
472	0.269 <u>+</u> 0.015	$-1.061^{+0.069}_{-0.068}$	SALT2	Sullivan et al. 2011		
580	0.271 ± 0.014	$-1.013^{+0.077}_{-0.073}$	SALT2	Suzuki et al. 2011		
740	0.295±0.034	-1.018±0.057 CMB	SALT2	Betoule et al. 2014		
		-1.027±0.055 CMB+BAO				

Status 2014



Systematic uncertainties

Current questions

- calibration
- reddening and absorption
 - detection
 - through colours or spectroscopic indicators
 - correction
 - knowledge of absorption law
- light curve fitting
- selection bias
 - sampling of different populations
- gravitational lensing
- brightness evolution



What next?

Already in hand

- ->1000 SNe la for cosmology
- constant ω determined to 5%
- accuracy dominated by systematic effects
- Missing
 - good data at z>1
 - light curves and spectra
 - good infrared data at z>0.5
 - cover the restframe B and V filters
 - move towards longer wavelengths to reduce absorption effects

Cosmology – more?



bundgut

Speculations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R - \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu}$$

Einstein's cosmologal constant

No explanation in particle physics theories

Quintessence

Quantum mechanical particle field releasing energy into the universe

Signatures of high dimensions

Gravity is best described in theories with more than four dimensions

Phantom Energy

Dark Energy dominates and eventually the universe end in a (Big Rip)

Supernova Cosmology – do we need more?

Test for variable ω

- required accuracy ~2% in *individual* distances
- can SNe Ia provide this?
 - can the systematics be reduced to this level?
 - homogeneous photometry?
 - further parameters (e.g. host galaxy metalicity)
 - handle >100000 SNe la per year?
- Euclid
 - SNe Ia with IR light curves (deep fields)
 - \rightarrow restframe I (z<1.2), J (z<0.8) and H (z<0.4)
 - several thousand SNe to be discovered