

BIG BANG NUCLEOSYNTHESIS

Elisabetta Caffau



Galaxies Étoiles Physique et Instrumentation

Chemical elements?

?



	GROUP		D	DI			Т٨	DI	C (тμ	C C		Г Л Л С	ENI.	гς				
													I J		18 VIIIA					
8	1 1.008		2															2 4.0026		
1 I	H																	He		
d	HYDROGEN 2 IIA													13 IIIA 14 IVA 15 VA 16 VIA 17 VIIA HEUUM						
	3 6.94	6.94 4 9.0122												6 12.011	7 14.007	8 15.999	9 18.998	10 20.180		
2	Li	Be												С	N	0	F	Ne		
	LITHUM	BERYLLIUM							BORON	CARBON	NITROGEN	OXYGEN	FLUORINE	NEON						
	11 22.990 12 24.305 www.periodni.com 13 26.982 1											14 28.085	15 30.974	16 32.06	17 35.45	18 39.948				
3	Na	Mg	g Al Si P											S	CI	Ar				
	SODIUM	MAGNESIUM	3 B	4 IVB	5 VB	6 VIB	7 VIIB	8	9	10	11 IB	12 IIB	ALUMINUM	SILICON	PHOSPHORUS	SULPHUR	CHLORINE	ARGON		
	19 39.098	20 40.078	21 44.956	22 47.867	23 50.942	24 51.996	25 54.938	26 55.845	27 58.933	28 58.693	29 63.546	30 65.38	31 69.723	32 72.64	33 74.922	34 78.971	35 79.904	36 83.798		
4	K	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr		
	POTASSIUM	CALCIUM	SCANDIUM	TITANIUM	WANADIJIM	CHROMIUM	MANGANESE	IRON	COBALT	NICKEL	COPPER	ZINC	GALLIUM	GERMANIUM	ARSENIC	SELENIUM	BROMINE	KRYPTON		
	37 85.468	38 87.62	39 88.906	40 91.224	41 92.906	42 95.95	43 (98)	44 101.07	45 102.91	46 106.42	47 107.87	48 112.41	49 114.82	50 118.71	51 121.76	52 127.60	53 126.90	54 131.29		
5	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	110	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Te	Ι	Xe		
	RUBIDIUM	STRONTIUM	YTTRIUM	ZIRCONIUM	NIOBIUM	MOLYBDENUM	TECHNETIUM	RUTHENIUM	RHODIUM	PALLADIUM	SILVER	CADMUM	INDIUM	TIN	ANTIMONY	TELLURIUM	ICOINE	XENON		
	55 132.91	56 137.33	57-71	72 178.49	73 180.95	74 183.84	75 186.21	76 190.23	77 192.22	78 195.08	79 196.97	80 200.59	81 204.38	82 207.2	83 208.98	84 (209)	85 (210)	86 (222)		
6	Cs	Ba	La-Lu	Hf	Та	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	Tl	Pb	Bi	Po	At	Rn		
	CAESIUM	BARIUM	Lanthanide	HAFNUM	TANTALUM	TUNGSTEN	RHENIUM	OSMUM	RDUM	PLATINUM	GOLD	MERCURY	THALLIUM	LEAD	BISMUTH	POLONIUM	ASTATINE	RADON		
	87 (223)	88 (226)	89-103	104 (267)	105 (268)	106 (271)	107 (272)	108 (277)	109 (276)	110 (281)	111 (280)	112 (285)	113 (285)	114 (287)	115 (289)	116 (291)	117 (294)	118 (294)		
7	Fr	Ra	Ac-Lr	Rf	Db	Sg	Bh	1868	Mit	Ds	Rg	Cu	Nh	181	Mic	Lv	105	Og		
	FRANCIUM	RADIUM	Actinide	RUTHERFORDIUM	DUBNIUM	SEABORGIUM	BOHRIUM	HASSIUM	MEITNERJUM	DARMSTADTIUM	ROENTGENIUM	COPERNICIUM	NHONIUM	FLEROVIUM	MOSCOVIUM	LIVERMORIUM	TENNESSINE	OGANESSON		
			57 138.91	58 140.12	59 140.91	60 144.24	61 (145)	62 150.36	63 151.96	64 157.25	65 158.93	66 162.50	67 164.93	68 167.26	69 168.93	70 173.05	71 174.97	raild		
	LANTHANIDE		La	Ce	Pr	Nd	1Pm	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Gen		
			LANTHANUM	CERIUM	PRASECOVIMUM	NEODYMIJM	PROMETHIUM	SAMARIUM	EUROPIUM	GADOLINUM	TERBIUM	DYSPROSIUM	HOLMUM	ERBIUM	THULIUM	YTTERBIUM	LUTETIUM	E		
			80 (227)	90 222 04	01 221 04	07 229 02	93 (227)	04 /2445	05 (242)	96 (247)	97 (247)	09 (251)	00 (252)	100 (257)	101 /259)	102 (250)	103 (282)	1 Å		
			A 0	Th	Do	1 238.03	N/m	10 (244)	A 555	(000	10016	00	18/0	100 (257)	3/47/2	NI(259)	II so	ght @		
AGTINIDE			AC	111	ra	U	7/15	1211	2711		2101	UL.	168	_rul	MTG	1/10	141	E.		
																		0		

Primordial Nucleosynthesis

- **Production of nuclei other than H in a period** $1s \le t \le 300s$ after Big Bang
- The prediction of the Standard Model on the production of D, ³He, ⁴He, ⁷Li is overall in good agreement with the observations
- BBN started with the work of Gamow, Alpher and Herman in the 1940s who predicted connection between the elements formation and the 3 K background radiation

they predicted the production of all elements, not only the light ones; with the discovery of the instability of the Z=5 element it revealed clear that this is not possible

Primordial Nucleosynthesis

- Standard Model of the very early Universe simple and determined by three milestones:
 - expansion governed by General Relativity;
 - particle interactions governed by Standard Model;
 - particle distribution governed by statistical physics.
- SBBN depends on only ONE parameter:
 - **• baryon-to-photon ratio** $\eta = \frac{n_b}{n_{\gamma}}$ (baryons: protons, neutrons and nuclei) **Or**
 - **J** baryon density Ω_b (dimensionless quantity)
 - \checkmark the 4 He abundance depends also on expansion rate

Baryon to photon ratio

• η

- Solution baryon-to-photon ratio $\eta = \frac{n_b}{n_{\gamma}}$
- baryon-to-photon ratio $\eta_{10} = \frac{\eta}{10^{-10}}$
- Interpredicted abundance of light elements depends only on η

Number of photon n_{γ}

- in the present Universe known from the cosmic background temperature $(411 \pm 2 \text{ photons per cm}^3)$
- Ithe number of photons has not changed in time (emission from stars is negligible)

Baryon to photon ratio

Present: Ω_{b,0} Ω_{b,0}h² = 3.65 × 10⁻³η₁₀ h present expansion rate: h = H₀/(100 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹) with H₀ = R/h₀/R₀, H₀ present Hubble constant. R(t) cosmic scale factor, evolving in time and describing expansion of the Universe; with t the cosmic time (R/h₀)² = H²(t) = 1/(8t²) = 8πG/3 ρ(t) with ρ(t) the energy of the Universe, G Newton constant.

Cosmic

- $\frac{n_b}{n_\gamma}$ at the BBN time is the same as at the recombination time $(\sim 40000 \, \text{yr after BB})$
- Abundances of primordial elements, in the Standard Model context, can be derived by using the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation derived by Planck
- By using for the CMB the Planck observations $\Omega_{b,0}h^2 = 0.02226 \pm 0.00023$, the primordial elements are (68% confidence):
 - \checkmark primordial fraction of baryons consisting of $^4\mbox{He:}$ $Y_p=0.2471\pm0.0005$
 - primordial abundance ratio of D with respect to H: $D/H = (2.414 \pm 0.047)/10^5$
 - primordial abundance ratio of ³He with respect to H: ${}^{3}\text{He}/\text{H} = (1.110 \pm 0.022)/10^{5}$
 - primordial abundance ratio of ⁷Li:
 - $A(^{7}Li/H) = 2.745 \pm 0.021$ (with $A(^{7}Li/H) = \log_{10}(^{7}Li/H) + 12$)

Big Bang first modelled time

- According to Standard Model at t = 0 s, the instant of the Big Bang, matter and radiation of the Universe were condensed in a point
- First time that can be modelled at $t = 10^{-43}$ s
 - *g*ravitational, strong, weak, electromagnetic forces were undistinguished
 - there are particle of matter and antimatter in equal proportion
 - particles create radiation and are created from radiation



At $t \sim 10^{-34}$ s tiny excess of matter over antimatter (one matter particle surviving over 10^9 particles to annihilate with antimatter)

Big Bang first times

- \blacksquare At $t \sim 10^{-5} \, {\rm s}$
 - Protons and neutrons builded
 - Remaining antimatter (in form of positrons e^+) disappeared significantly when energy below level to create couples $e^+ + e^-$

Early Universe

• Early times: $T \sim 10^{12} \, \mathrm{K}$, $t \sim 10^{-4} \, \mathrm{s}$

- Iniverse filled by gas extremely hot and dense
- matter completely dissociated
- matter in equilibrium with radiation
- As Universe evolve:
 - T(t) changes due to expansion in timescale-order H(t):

Particles couple directly or indirectly with with photons, rate of interaction:

•
$$\Gamma = n \langle \sigma v \rangle$$

with n number density of target particles

- \boldsymbol{v} relative velocity
- σ interaction cross section
- for $\Gamma(t) > H(t)$ interactions can maintain equilibrium

Early Universe t < 1 s

${}$ At $T>10^{10}\,{ m K}$ and $t<1\,{ m s}$ there is statistical and thermal equilibrium

- inter-conversion between neutron and proton:

 - $\ \, n \longleftrightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu}$
- $\frac{n}{p} = \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{T}\right)$ will be maintained as long as n-p reactions are rapid enough (Q = 1.293 MeV)

• as the temperature is such that $\Gamma(T) < H(T)$

- n/p ratio get frozen
- ${\, {\rm \hspace{-.2em} \hspace{-.2em} \hspace{-.2em} \hspace{-.2em} \hspace{-.2em} \hspace{-.2em} }}$ only the β -decay, $n \longrightarrow p + e^- + \bar{\nu},$ continues
- this happens for $T \sim 0.8$ MeV at $t \sim 1$ s, and $\frac{n}{p} \sim \frac{1}{6}$
- $\frac{n}{n}$ slowly decreases (occasional weak interactions, dominated by n decay)
- $\frac{n}{p} \sim \frac{1}{7}$ at the time nucleosynthesis begins

D formation

When n − p no more in equilibrium
n/p ~ 1/6
it starts n + p → D + γ
but for T ≥ 10⁹ K (at t ≤ 100 s)
γ enough energetic for
D + γ → n + p faster than
n + D →³ H + γ and p + D →³ He + γ
tiny abundances of D, ³He and ⁴He

D and He formation

- If For $T \sim 10^9 \, {\rm K} \ (\sim 0.1 \, {\rm MeV})$, at $t \sim 100 \, {\rm s})$
- nuclei are built
 - $n + D \longrightarrow^{3} H$
 - $p + D \longrightarrow^{3} He$
 - $n + \text{He} \longrightarrow^4 \text{He}$
 - $D + D \longrightarrow^4 He$
- **D** is the bottleneck, the first stepping stone to build heavier elements

1. $p \longleftrightarrow n$ 2. $p(n, \gamma)d$ 3. $d(p, \gamma)^3$ He 4. $d(d, n)^{3}$ He 5. d(d, p)t6. $t(d, n)^4$ He 7. $t(\alpha, \gamma)^7$ Li 8. ${}^{3}\text{He}(n,p)t$ 9. ${}^{3}\text{He}(d, p){}^{4}\text{He}$ 10. ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha, \gamma){}^{7}\text{Be}$ 11. ⁷Li $(p, \alpha)^4$ He 12. ${}^{7}\text{Be}(n,p){}^{7}\text{Li}$

- . At $t \sim 100\,{
 m s}$

 - Assuming all available n end bond in ${}^{4}\mathrm{He}$
 - Mass fraction of ${}^{4}\mathrm{He}$:

$$Y = \frac{4nn/2}{nn+np} = \frac{2n/p}{1+n/p} \sim 0.25$$

• if the n number density is n_n , $n_n/2$ ${}^4\mathrm{He}$ can be formed.

- be T_* temperature for $\Gamma(T_*) = H(T_*)$
- ${\scriptstyle
 m I}$ but $\Gamma(T) \sim T^5 t$
- ${\scriptstyle
 m I}$ and $H(T)\sim rac{1}{2t}\sim g^{1/2}(T)T^2\sqrt{rac{8\pi G}{3}}$
- ${}_{ullet}$ so T_{*} depends on g(T), the number of degree of freedom of the radiation at $T=T_{*}$

$$g(T_*) = 2 \left[1_{\gamma} + (7/8 + 7/8)_{e^+ + e^-} + (7/8N_{\nu})_{N_{\nu\bar{\nu}}} \right]$$

- $N_{\nu} = 3$, g(T) = 43/4, but changes if number light neutrino is different
- $N_{\nu} = 2, 3, 4$ give $Y \sim 0.227, 0.242, 0.254$

- There is no stable element for atomic mass of 5
- \checkmark To build elements heavier than ${}^{4}\mathrm{He}$:
 - \checkmark collisions of rare D, $^3{\rm H}$ or $^3{\rm He}$ with $^4{\rm He}$ required
 - \checkmark majority D, $^3{\rm H}$ or $^3{\rm He}$ are burnt into $^4{\rm He}$
- \blacksquare \implies there is very little of heavier elements

- Universe continue to expand and cool
 - temperature and density decrease
 - nuclear reactions more and more rare
- . At $t \sim 10^3$ s nucleosynthesis is over

Models

- Two main cosmological parameters on which predictions depend
 - number of degree of freedom $g~({\rm at}~T\sim 1\,{\rm MeV})$
 - baryon to photon ratio
- An increase in g increases $H(T) \sim \sqrt{g}T^2$ leading to higher freeze out temperature $(T_* \sim g^{1/6})$ and higher He abundance.
- Dependence on η is more complicate \implies nucleosynthesis can be followed with large number of equations (code).
- Wagoner (1973, ApJ 179, 343) wrote first code, improved by Kawano (1992, preprint FERMILAB Pub 92/04A).

Primordial abundances

The lithium valley

SBBN predicts an ABSOLUTE minimum for the Li abundance

From Coc & Vangioni 2017 Vertical strip the CMB baryonic; horizontal green areas represent the primordial abundances.

Observations

- **Goal would be to derive the primordial abundance of D**, ³He, ⁴He, ⁷Li
 - A good precision needed (at the limit or beyond of current capabilities)
 - A theoretical understanding for each element of all mechanisms of
 - production
 - destruction
 - Observe in the right place.

- As stars are formed, metals are synthesised in (massive) stars
- As massive stars explode as supernovae, ISM is enriched by metals
- metal content is an indication of age
 - Old stars are poor in metals (metal-poor stars)
 - Young ones are rich (Sun)
- Stars "produce" elements
 - up to iron in their interior
 - heavier in cataclysmic events
 - no production of D, Li, Be, B
- D is synthesised only in BBN
- Li, Be and B can be produced by cosmic rays spallation
- Li is produced by novae and AGB stars
- **•** Only ¹⁰B and ¹¹B stable

Deuterium

- Never produced in stars
- Low binding energy: 2.2 MeV
- Destroyed by stellar evolution processes, via

$$D + p \longrightarrow^{3} He + \gamma + 5.49 MeV$$

- Its abundance decreases with time
- Observations provide lower limit of its primordial value
- Its abundance in low-metallicity environment has to be close to BBN value
- Its primordial abundance very sensitive to Ω_b (monotonic behaviour, $rac{D}{H} \propto \eta^{-1.6}$)

Deuterium

- Istorically the first determinations from Lyman limit systems
 - **D** information detectable only on Lyman- α line
- \checkmark D can be safely measured only in high red-shift, low-metallicity damped Lyman α where observations challenging

Difficult to derive D in old stars' atmospheres

H α in HD 84937 (6250 K/4.0/-2.1)

from Spite, Maillard, & Spite (1983) A&A 128,252

Lyman limit system

Pettini et al. 2008

Figure 3. The black histogram shows our HIRES data (left panels) and UVES data (right panels), covering the H I and D I Lyman series absorption lines from $Ly\alpha$ – Ly7 (top to bottom panels, respectively). Our best-fitting model is overlaid with the solid red line. The plotted data have been corrected for the best-fitting zero-level (short green dashed line), and are normalized by the best-fitting continuum model (long blue dashed line). Tick marks above the spectrum indicate the absorption components for H I (red ticks) and D I (green ticks).

7

High red-shift, low-metallicity damped Lyman α systems, Cooke et al. 2016, ApJ 830, 148

Deuterium

Grees symbols: from Cooke et al. 2016; blue symbols: from Cooke et al. 2014; dashed and dotted red lines: 68% and 95% confidence interval; gray area: standard model D/H from authors' calculations; from Cooke et al. 2016, ApJ 830, 148 Right scale in the two panels uses different of $D + p \longrightarrow {}^{3}He + \gamma$
Deuterium



Figure 5. D/H values with 1σ confidence intervals (y-axis) for each of the six models considered (indicated on the x-axis). The BT measurement is shown at the far right. The horizontal band indicates the 1σ confidence interval for the CMB prediction by Coc et al. (2015).

from Zavarygin et al. 2017



- $lacksymbol{s}$ Its primordial abundance very sensitive to Ω_b
- It can be produced/destroyed in stellar interiors \implies stellar and galactic evolution models necessary to track back primordial ³He
- Detectable via its hyperfine emission line, but detectable only in Milky Way gas clouds that are not formed by pristine material
- Observations
 - Terrestrial determination $(\frac{^{3}\text{He}}{^{4}\text{He}} \sim 10^{-6}, 10^{-8}$ from balloon and continental rock measurements) but terrestrial ⁴He also product of α decays
 - Solar system: Solar wind, Jupiter atmosphere
 - Local inter-stellar medium
 - Stars

Again the best place to look is HII regions (and also PNe). where the spin-flip transition of³He⁺at 3.46 cm is observed





Bania et al. 2002 derived ³He in a sample of HII regions (radio observations)

Feige 86 (16430 K/4.2/0.0)



HARPS (R=110000), Caffau et al. 2014, AN 335, 29



- Its primordial abundance almost completely controlled by free n number, so related to freeze-out temperature of weak $n \leftrightarrow p$ rate
- **•** mass fraction ⁴Helium $Y_p = \frac{2n/p}{1+n/p} \approx 0.25$
- \checkmark Y_p depends:
 - Iogarithmically on baryon density
 - sensitive to freeze-out temperature
- Synthesised in stellar interiors
- Usually measured in old, very little evolved system

- Its abundance determined by He emission lines in extra-galactic H II regions
- Ite synthesised also in stars => primordial value derived with regression of He abundances versus metallicity
- Theoretical model used to extract He abundance depends on 8 physical parameters (electron density, optical depth, temperature, equivalent width of underlying absorption of H and He, reddening correction, H fraction, He abundance) to predict flux of nine emission lines ratios



Izotov et al. 1999

- Of the several HII region analysed, 25 best objects provided (Aver et al. 2012, JCAP 4, 04)
 - $Y_p = 0.2534 \pm 0.0083$ based on linear regression
 - $\checkmark ~Y_{\rm p} = 0.2574 \pm 0.0036$ based on weighted mean of the data



With a new analysis of theoretical emissivities of Potter et al. 2012, 2013

Aver et al. 2013, JCAP 11, 01)

- $Y_p = 0.2465 \pm 0.0097$ based on linear regression
- $\checkmark ~Y_{\rm p} = 0.2535 \pm 0.0036$ based on weighted mean of the data



4 He

Introduction of IR line: Izotov et al. 2014, MNRAS 445, 778 and Aver at al. JCAP 07, 011



4 He

Peimbert et al. 2017 from observations in H II regions derived $Y_{\rm p} = 0.2446 \pm 0.0029$



Takeda et al. 2011, PASJ 63, S547



- Li fragile element, destroyed in stellar interiors
 - \checkmark ^{7}Li destroyed at $T \leq 2.5 \times 10^{6} \, \text{K}$
 - ⁶Li destroyed at lower Temperatures
- Li also produced (spallation, AGB stars, novae) but you need time
- **D** To derive A(Li) in stars
 - 670.7 nm doublet, clear range in metal-poor stars (EW of some pm)
 - subordinate line at 610.4 nm, much weaker
 - model-atmosphere knowledge able to reproduce the line-profile

From Spite & Spite (1982)

- metal-poor $(-2.4 \le [Fe/H] \le -1.4)$ dwarf stars show constant Li abundance;
- **•** this "Spite plateau" should represents the primordial Li
- \blacksquare Metal-poor stars with $T_{\rm eff} > 5800\,\text{K}$ have shallow convective zone, they do not destroy Li
- **Solution** Cooler metal-poor stars have deep convective zone, they destroy Li

Lithium: features



Shi et al. 2007

Lithium: features

⁶Li detection in HD 84937





Lithium: Spite plateau



Charbonnel & Primas 2005, A&A 442, 961



Bonifacio et al. 2007, A&A 462, 851

Lithium meltdown





Lithium destroyed from the primordial value inside stars:

- Li is depleted by diffusion in the stellar atmosphere (Richard et al. 2002, ApJ 580, 1100), difficult to reconcile with the constant Li content in Pop. II stars, whatever $T_{\rm eff}$, gravity and [Fe/H]
- EMP low mass stars were all formed by fragmentation of higher mass clouds; they remain fast rotators through pre-MS; rotational mixing leads to Li destruction
- Pre-MS stars always depletes all Li, late accretion of unprocessed material restores Li to some extent (Fu et al. 2016); EMP stars lack or have an inefficient late accretion phase
- Within the dark matter (DM) mini-halo a significant fraction of the mass (%50 ?) is rapidly processed through massive stars, this leads to Li depletion; low-mass stars only form from this pre-processed material

Lithium produced in BBN can be smaller than at present established, e.g.

- Jedamzik et al. 2006 investigated the possibility of late decaying relic particles in the constrained minimal supersymmetric standard model coupled to gravity
- **Scherrer & Scherrer 2017** suggest a stability of ⁸Be
- Goudelis, Pospelov, Pradler (2016) suggest presence of light neutral particle X having substantial interactions with nucleons, having $1.6 \leq m_X \leq 20$ MeV and $100 \leq \tau_X \leq 10^4$ s
- ▶ Hou et al. 2017 suggest a generalised distribution (characterised by a parameter q) to describe the velocity to describe nucleus; for $1.069 \le q \le 1.082$ the agreement observations/predictions is good

Some curiosities

Lithium meltdown



Lithium meltdown



M4 - Monaco et al. 2012



High Lithium star



Solid black: observed spectrum; solid red 3D-NLTE synthesis; 3D-NLTE synthesis with 2% ⁶Li. Monaco et al. 2014, A&A 564, L6 - Tr 5

Lithium: Spite plateau in ω Centauri



Monaco et al. 2010, A&A 519, L3

Lithium: Mucciarelli's plateau



Mucciarelli et al. 2012, MRAS 419, 2195

Lithium: Mucciarelli's plateau



Mucciarelli et al. 2012, MRAS 419, 2195

Lithium in IS medium in Small Magellanic Cloud



 $A({\rm Li}) = 2.79 \pm 0.11$ Howk et al. 2013, Nature 489, 121



Figure 2: Estimates of the lithium abundance in the SMC interstellar medium and in several different environments. Our best estimate for interstellar gas+dust phase abundance of $A(^{7}\text{Li})$ in the SMC is shown as the red circle with black core derived from the ⁷Li I/K I ratio. The present day metallicity of the SMC from early-type stars is $[Fe/H] = -0.59 \pm 0.06$. (All uncertainties are 1σ .) The point marked BBN and dotted horizontal line show the primordial abundance predicted by standard BBN.³ The green curves show recent models²⁵ for post-BBN ⁷Li nucleosynthesis due to cosmic rays (CRs) and stars. By adjusting the yields from low-mass stars, the models are forced to match the solar system meteoritic abundance²³ (see Supplementary Information). The solid and dashed lines correspond to models A and B²⁵ which respectively include or not a presumed contribution to ⁷Li from core-collapse supernovae. The blue hatched area shows the range of abundances derived for Population II stars in the Galactic halo,⁶ with the "Spite plateau" in this sample at $A(^7\text{Li})_{\text{PODII}} \approx 2.10 \pm 0.10.6$ The violet hatched region shows the range of measurements seen in Galactic thin disk stars, where the thicker lines denote the six most Li-rich stars in a series of eight metallicity bins.¹⁶ The selection of thin disk stars includes objects over a range of masses and temperatures, including stars that are expected to have destroyed a fair fraction of their Li. Thus, the upper envelope of the distribution represents the best estimate of the intrinsic ISM Li abundance at the epoch of formation for those stars, and the thicker dashed lines for the thin disk sample are most appropriate for comparison with the SMC value. The most Li-rich stars in the Milky Way thin disc16 within 0.1 dex of the SMC metallicity give $A(^{7}\text{Li})_{\text{MW}} = 2.54 \pm 0.05$, consistent with our estimate $A(^{7}\text{Li})_{SMC} = 2.68 \pm 0.16$.

10

- Li can also be produced
 - Cameron-Fowler mechanism in AGB and RGB stars (needed deep convection envelope to transport fresh H and CNO)
 - Energetic flares in magnetic active stars
 - Planet engulfment
- Mechanisms not interesting for unevolved old stars
Lithium in solar-metallicity stars



Filled black circles: 118 solar analog; half-filled blue diamonds: 39 F-type stars; open green circles: 34 early G-type stars; outlined brown squares: 14 standard late G- early K-type stars; large red circle: Sun; pink downward tingles: upper limits; horizontal dashed lines: meteoritic value: A(Li)=3.31 and A(Be)=1.42.

Takeda et al. 2011 PASJ 63, 697

Lithium in solar-metellicity stars



Lithium

Remaining problems

- ▲ A(Li)=2.2 three times smaller than primordial Li, possible explanations:
 - EMP low mass stars were all formed by fragmentation of higher mass clouds; they remain fast rotators through pre-MS; rotational mixing leads to Li destruction
 - Pre-MS stars always depletes all Li, late accretion of unprocessed material restores Li to some extent (Fu et al. 2016); EMP stars lack or have an inefficient late accretion phase
 - Within the DM mini-halo a significant fraction of the mass (%50 ?) is rapidly processed through massive stars, this leads to Li depletion; low-mass stars only form from this pre-processed material
- Li meltdown